

Polynomials on dual-isomorphic spaces

Félix Cabello Sánchez, Jesús M. F. Castillo and Ricardo García⁽¹⁾

In this note we study isomorphisms between spaces of polynomials on Banach spaces. Precisely, we are interested in the following question raised in [5]: If X and Y are Banach spaces such that their topological duals X' and Y' are isomorphic, does this imply that the corresponding spaces of homogeneous polynomials $\mathcal{P}({}^n X)$ and $\mathcal{P}({}^n Y)$ are isomorphic for every $n \geq 1$?

Díaz and Dineen gave the following partial positive answer [5, Proposition 4]: Let X and Y be dual-isomorphic spaces; if X' has the Schur property and the approximation property, then $\mathcal{P}({}^n X)$ and $\mathcal{P}({}^n Y)$ are isomorphic for every n . Observe that the Schur property of X' makes all bounded operators from X to X' (and also from Y to Y') compact. That hypothesis can be considerably relaxed. Following [6], [7], let us say that X is regular if every bounded operator $X \rightarrow X'$ is weakly compact. We prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let X and Y be dual-isomorphic spaces. If X is regular then $\mathcal{P}({}^n X)$ and $\mathcal{P}({}^n Y)$ are isomorphic for every $n \geq 1$.*

In fact, it is even true that the corresponding spaces of holomorphic maps of bounded type $\mathcal{H}_b(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}_b(Y)$ are isomorphic Fréchet algebras. Observe that the approximation property plays no rôle in Theorem 1. This is relevant since, for instance, the space of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space is a regular space (as every C^* -algebra [7]) but lacks the approximation property.

Our techniques are quite different from those of [5] and depend on certain properties of the extension operators introduced by Nicodemi in [10]. For stable spaces (that is, for spaces isomorphic to its square) one has the following stronger result.

Theorem 2. *If X and Y are dual-isomorphic stable spaces, then $\mathcal{P}({}^n X)$ and $\mathcal{P}({}^n Y)$ are isomorphic for every $n \geq 1$.*

⁽¹⁾ Supported in part by DGICYT project PB97-0377.

At the end of the paper we present examples of Banach spaces X , Y with $\mathcal{P}({}^n X)$ and $\mathcal{P}({}^n Y)$ isomorphic for every $n \geq 1$ despite the following facts.

Example 1. All polynomials on X are weakly sequentially continuous, while Y contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to l_2 (thus there are plenty of polynomials which are not weakly sequentially continuous).

Example 2. The space X is separable and Y is not.

Example 3. Every infinite-dimensional subspace of X contains a copy of l_2 , X has the Radon–Nikodym property and Y is isomorphic to c_0 .

1. Multilinear maps and Nicodemi operators

Our notation is standard and follows [5]. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be Banach spaces. Then, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is an isomorphism

$$(\cdot)_i: \mathcal{L}(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{i-1}, Z_{i+1}, \dots, Z_n; Z'_i)$$

given by

$$\langle A_i(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n), z_i \rangle = A(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

The inverse isomorphism will be denoted $(\cdot)^i$. Thus, for any vector-valued multilinear map $B \in \mathcal{L}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{i-1}, Z_{i+1}, \dots, Z_n; Z'_i)$, we have

$$B^i(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n) = \langle B(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n), z_i \rangle.$$

Our main tool are the extension operators introduced by Nicodemi in [10] whose construction we briefly sketch (see also [6]). Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Given an operator $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$, one can construct a sequence of bounded operators $\Phi^{(n)}: \mathcal{L}({}^n X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}({}^n Y)$ between the spaces of multilinear forms as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define

$$\Phi_i^{(n)}: \mathcal{L}(X, \overset{(i)}{\dots}, X, Y, \overset{(n-i)}{\dots}, Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, \overset{(i-1)}{\dots}, X, Y, \overset{(n-i+1)}{\dots}, Y)$$

as

$$\Phi_i^{(n)}(A) = (\Phi \circ A_i)^i.$$

Finally, define $\Phi^{(n)}$ by

$$\Phi^{(n)} = \Phi_1^{(n)} \circ \Phi_2^{(n)} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n-1}^{(n)} \circ \Phi_n^{(n)}.$$

Clearly, if $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$ is an isomorphism, so is every $\Phi_i^{(n)}$. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$ be an isomorphism. Then $\Phi^{(n)}$ is an isomorphism for every $n \geq 1$.*

Corollary 1. *If X and Y are dual-isomorphic spaces, then $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}X$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}Y$ are isomorphic for every $n \geq 1$.*

We are ready to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. The hypothesis on X and Y together with [5, Theorem 2(ii)] and Corollary 1 above yields $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}X \approx \mathcal{L}^{(n)}X \approx \mathcal{L}^{(n)}Y \approx \mathcal{P}^{(n)}Y$, as desired. \square

Identifying $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}X$ with the space of symmetric forms $\mathcal{L}_s^{(n)}X$ (and also $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}Y$ with $\mathcal{L}_s^{(n)}Y$) one might think that, given an isomorphism $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$, the restriction of $\Phi^{(n)}$ to $\mathcal{L}_s^{(n)}X$ could give an isomorphism between the spaces of polynomials. Unfortunately, we are unable to prove that $\Phi^{(n)}(A)$ is symmetric when A is (we believe that not all isomorphisms Φ achieve this). Fortunately, this is always true when X is regular. The following result will clarify the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. *Let X and Y be dual-isomorphic Banach spaces. If X is regular then so is Y .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} denote bounded operators and \mathcal{W} weakly compact operators. It clearly suffices to see that $\mathcal{B}(Y, X') = \mathcal{W}(Y, X')$, which follows from the regularity of X ($\mathcal{B}(X, Y') = \mathcal{W}(X, Y')$) together with the natural isomorphism $\mathcal{B}(Y, X') = \mathcal{B}(X, Y')$ and Gantmacher's theorem ($\mathcal{W}(Y, X') = \mathcal{W}(X, Y')$). \square

Our immediate objective is the following representation of Nicodemi operators.

Lemma 2. *Let $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$ be a bounded operator. For every $A \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}X$ and all $y_i \in Y$ one has*

$$\Phi^{(n)}(A)(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow \Phi'(y_1)} \dots \lim_{x_n \rightarrow \Phi'(y_n)} A(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where the iterated limits are taken for $x_i \in X$ converging to $\Phi'(y_i)$ in the weak* topology of X'' .

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{L}(X, \overset{(i)}{\cdot}, X, Y, \overset{(n-i)}{\cdot}, Y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i^{(n)} B(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) &= (\Phi \circ B_i)^i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) \\ &= \langle (\Phi \circ B_i)(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n), y_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi(B_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n)), y_i \rangle \\ &= \langle B_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n), \Phi'(y_i) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{x_i \rightarrow \Phi'(y_i)} \langle B_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n), x_i \rangle \\ &= \lim_{x_i \rightarrow \Phi'(y_i)} B(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n), \end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows. \square

It is apparently a well-known fact that if X is a regular space, the iterated limit in the preceding lemma does not depend on the order of the involved variables.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that X is regular. Then, for every $A \in \mathcal{L}({}^n X)$ and every permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, one has*

$$\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_1''} \dots \lim_{x_n \rightarrow x_n''} A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{x_{\pi(1)} \rightarrow x_{\pi(1)}''} \dots \lim_{x_{\pi(n)} \rightarrow x_{\pi(n)}''} A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $x_i'' \in X''$, where the iterated limits are taken for $x_i \in X$ converging to x_i'' in the weak* topology of X'' .

We refer the reader to [2, Section 8] for a simple proof. It will be convenient to write the limit appearing in Lemma 3 in a more compact form. Thus, given $A \in \mathcal{L}({}^n X)$, consider the multilinear form $\alpha\beta(A)$ given on X'' by

$$\alpha\beta(A)(x_1'', \dots, x_n'') = \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_1''} \dots \lim_{x_n \rightarrow x_n''} A(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

This is the Aron–Berner extension of A (see [1, Proposition 2.1], or [2, Section 8]). Actually the extension operator $\alpha\beta: \mathcal{L}({}^n X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}({}^n X'')$ is nothing but the Nicodemi operator induced by the natural inclusion $X' \rightarrow X''$. In this setting, it is clear that if $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$ is an operator, then

$$\Phi^{(n)}(A)(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \alpha\beta(A)(\Phi'(y_1), \dots, \Phi'(y_n)).$$

From this, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let X be a regular space and let $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$ be an operator. Then, for each $n \geq 1$, the restriction of $\Phi^{(n)}$ to $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n X)$ takes values in $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n Y')$.*

Proof. It obviously suffices to see that $\alpha\beta(A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n X'')$ for every symmetric $A \in \mathcal{L}({}^n X)$. If $\pi \in S_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta(A)(x_1'', \dots, x_n'') &= \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_1''} \dots \lim_{x_n \rightarrow x_n''} A(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_1''} \dots \lim_{x_n \rightarrow x_n''} A(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \\ &= \lim_{x_{\pi(1)} \rightarrow x_{\pi(1)}''} \dots \lim_{x_{\pi(n)} \rightarrow x_{\pi(n)}''} A(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \\ &= \alpha\beta(A)(x_{\pi(1)}'', \dots, x_{\pi(n)}''), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

End of the proof of Theorem 1. If $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$ is an isomorphism and X is a regular space, then, by the lemma just proved, for every $n \geq 1$ the Nicodemi operator $\Phi^{(n)}$ yields an isomorphism from $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n X)$ to $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n Y)$. It remains to prove that this map is surjective. This is an obvious consequence of Proposition 1, Lemma 4 and the following result which shows the (covariant) functorial character of Nicodemi's procedure on the class of regular spaces. \square

Proposition 2. *Let X, Y and Z be regular spaces and let $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$ and $\Psi: Y' \rightarrow Z'$ be arbitrary operators. Then $(\Psi \circ \Phi)^{(n)} = \Psi^{(n)} \circ \Phi^{(n)}$ for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. We only need the regularity of X . It is plain from the definition that for every $A \in \mathcal{L}({}^n X)$ the multilinear form $\alpha\beta(A)$ is separately weakly* continuous in the first variable. If X is regular, Lemma 3 implies that $\alpha\beta(A)$ is separately weakly* continuous in each variable. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi^{(n)} \circ \Phi^{(n)})(A)(z_1, \dots, z_n) &= \Psi^{(n)}(\Phi^{(n)}(A))(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow \Psi'(z_1)} \dots \lim_{y_n \rightarrow \Psi'(z_n)} \Phi^{(n)}(A)(y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ &= \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow \Psi'(z_1)} \dots \lim_{y_n \rightarrow \Psi'(z_n)} \alpha\beta(A)(\Phi'(y_1), \dots, \Phi'(y_n)) \\ &= \alpha\beta(A)(\Phi'(\Psi'(y_1)), \dots, \Phi'(\Psi'(y_n))) \\ &= (\Psi \circ \Phi)^{(n)}(A)(z_1, \dots, z_n), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 1. In general, $(\Psi \circ \Phi)^{(n)}$ may differ from $\Psi^{(n)} \circ \Phi^{(n)}$; see the instructive counterexample in [6, Section 9].

Corollary 2. *Let X and Y be dual-isomorphic complex spaces. If X is regular, then the Fréchet algebras of holomorphic maps of bounded type $\mathcal{H}_b(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}_b(Y)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. (See [6] for unexplained terms.) Let $\Phi: X' \rightarrow Y'$ be an isomorphism. It is easily seen that the Nicodemi operators have the following property: for all $A \in \mathcal{L}({}^n X)$ and all $B \in \mathcal{L}({}^k X)$ one has $\Phi^{(n+k)}(A \otimes B) = \Phi^{(n)}(A) \otimes \Phi^{(k)}(B)$. Taking into account that the norm of $\Phi^{(n)}$ is at most $\|\Phi\|^n$, it is not hard to see that the map $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi^{(n)}: \mathcal{H}_b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_b(Y)$ given by $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi^{(n)}(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi^{(n)} d^n f(0)/n!$ is an isomorphism of Fréchet algebras. \square

2. The examples

Example 2 can be obtained taking $X = C[0, 1]$ and $Y = c_0(J, C[0, 1])$, where J is a set having the power of the continuum. Clearly, X and Y are regular spaces.

Moreover, by general representation theorems, one has isometries

$$X' = l_1(J, l_1(\mathbf{N}) \oplus_1 L_1[0, 1]) = l_1(J \times J, l_1(\mathbf{N}) \oplus_1 L_1[0, 1]) = l_1(J, X') = Y',$$

so Theorem 1 applies.

The space X of Example 3 is Bourgain's example [3] of an l_2 -hereditary space having the Radon–Nikodym property and such that X' is isomorphic to l_1 (which obviously implies that X is regular).

Finally, Example 1 is obtained from Theorem 2 taking $X = l_1(l_2^n)$ and $Y = l_1(l_2^n) \oplus l_2$. Clearly, X has the Schur property (weakly convergent sequences converge in norm), and therefore all polynomials on X are weakly sequentially continuous. That Y admits 2-polynomials that are not weakly sequentially continuous is trivial. We want to see that X is stable (this clearly implies that Y is stable too) and that X' and Y' are isomorphic. Let $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be the obvious basis of X and consider the following subspaces of X

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= [e_1, e_3, e_4, e_7, e_8, e_9, e_{13}, e_{14}, e_{15}, e_{16}, \dots], \\ X_2 &= [e_2, e_5, e_6, e_{10}, e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{17}, e_{18}, e_{19}, e_{20}, \dots]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily verified that $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ and also that $X \cong X_1 \cong X_2$, so that X and Y are stable. To finish, let us prove that X' and Y' are isomorphic. Since $Y' = X' \oplus l_2$ the proof will be complete if we show that l_2 is complemented in X' . (This was first observed by Stegall who gave a rather involved proof; for the sake of completeness we include a simple proof which essentially follows [4].) Let $Q: X = l_1(l_2^n) \rightarrow l_2$ be given by $Q((x_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n$. Clearly, Q is a quotient map and therefore $Q': (l_2)' = l_2 \rightarrow X'$ is an isomorphic embedding. For each $k \geq 1$, consider the local selection $S_k: l_2 \rightarrow l_1(l_2^n)$ given by $S_k = I_k \circ P_k$, where P_k denotes the projection of l_2 onto the subspace spanned by the first k elements of the standard basis and $I_k: l_2^k \rightarrow l_1(l_2^n)$ is the inclusion map. Now, take a free ultrafilter U on \mathbf{N} and define $T: X' \rightarrow (l_2)'$ by

$$Tx'(x) = \lim_U x'(S_k x)$$

for $x' \in X'$ and $x \in l_2$. Then T is a left inverse for Q' . Indeed, let $f \in (l_2)'$ and take $x \in l_2$. One has $T(Q'(f))(x) = \lim_U Q'(f)(S_k x) = \lim_U f(QS_k x) = f(x)$ since $QS_k x$ converges in norm to x . This completes the proof.

Remark 2. In view of [5, Lemma 3], the following result may be interesting: Let X be a regular space whose dual is stable. Then, for every $n \geq 1$, the spaces $\mathcal{L}_s(^n X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(^n X)$ are isomorphic. (This can be proved by the methods of [5], taking into account that since X^2 is a pre-dual of X' , Theorem 1 yields isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}(^n X^2) \cong \mathcal{L}(^n X)$ and $\mathcal{L}_s(^n X^2) \cong \mathcal{L}_s(^n X)$. We refrain from giving the details.)

Remark 3. An operator $T: X \rightarrow X'$ is said to be symmetric if $Tx(y) = Ty(x)$ holds for all $x, y \in X$. A Banach space X is said to be symmetrically regular if every symmetric operator $X \rightarrow X'$ is weakly compact. Observe that Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 remain valid (with the same proof) replacing “ X regular” by “ X and Y symmetrically regular”. This observation is pertinent since Leung [9] showed that there are symmetrically regular spaces (the duals of certain James-type spaces) which are not regular. On the other hand, l_1 seems to be (essentially) the only known non-symmetrically regular space (see [2, Section 8]). In this way, although the starting question of Díaz and Dineen remains open, the results in this paper show that no available spaces seem to be reasonable candidates for a counterexample (one of the spaces should be non-stable and non-symmetrically regular simultaneously). We do not know if a symmetrically regular space and a non-symmetrically regular space can be dual isomorphic. Again, observe that no predual of l_∞ is symmetrically regular.

Acknowledgements. After a previous version of this paper had been written we received valuable feedback from several colleagues: Juan Carlos Díaz informed us that Silvia Lassalle and Ignacio Zalduendo had independently obtained Theorem 1 (see [8]); Ignacio Villanueva made us aware that Lassalle and Zalduendo had found an incorrect statement in our manuscript. It is quite a pleasure to thank each of them for helping us to improve the paper.

References

1. ARON, R. and BERNER, P., A Hahn–Banach extension theorem for analytic mappings, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **106** (1978), 3–24.
2. ARON, R., COLE, B. and GAMELIN, T., Spectra of algebras of analytic functions on a Banach space, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **415** (1991), 51–93.
3. BOURGAIN, J., *New Classes of L_p -Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **889**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1981.
4. CASTILLO, J. M. F. and GONZÁLEZ, M., On the DPP in Banach spaces, *Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994), 5–12.
5. DÍAZ, J. C. and DINEEN, S., Polynomials on stable spaces, *Ark. Mat.* **36** (1998), 87–96.
6. GALINDO, P., GARCÍA, D., MAESTRE, M. and MUJICA, J., Extension of multilinear mappings on Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **108** (1994), 55–76.
7. GODEFROY, G. and IOCHUM, B., Arens regularity of Banach algebras and the geometry of Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **80** (1988), 47–59.
8. LASSALLE, S. and ZALDUENDO, I., To what extent does the dual Banach space E' determine the polynomials over E ?, *Preprint*, 1998.
9. LEUNG, D. H., Some remarks on regular Banach spaces, *Glasgow Math. J.* **38** (1996), 243–248.

10. NICODEMI, O., Homomorphisms of algebras of germs of holomorphic functions, in *Functional Analysis, Holomorphy and Approximation Theory* (Machado, S., ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. **843**, pp. 534–546, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1981.

Received June 30, 1998
in revised form February 3, 1999

Félix Cabello Sánchez
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Extremadura
Avenida de Elvas
ES-06071 Badajoz
Spain
email: fcabello@unex.es

Jesús M. F. Castillo
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Extremadura
Avenida de Elvas
ES-06071 Badajoz
Spain
email: castillo@unex.es

Ricardo García
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Extremadura
Avenida de Elvas
ES-06071 Badajoz
Spain
email: rgarcia@unex.es